



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,216	12/30/2003	Sung-Soo Chae	11038-151-999	1457	
24341 75	90 08/07/2006		EXAMINER		
	EWIS & BOCKIUS, LL	LUKS, JEREMY AUSTIN			
2 PALO ALTO SQUARE 3000 EL CAMINO REAL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PALO ALTO,	PALO ALTO, CA 94306				
			DATE MAILED: 08/07/200	DATE MAILED: 08/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			I Amplicant/a)			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
055 A 41 - 0		10/749,216	CHAE, SUNG-SOO			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeremy Luks	2837			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY IS IN A STATE OF THE MAILING DAY IN A ST	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u>	<i>ıly</i> 2006.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	·			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🔀	Claim(s) <u>/-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ı <b>r</b> .				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)🖾	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Infon	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ir No(s)/Mail Date		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakagawa (5,723,828) in view of Eberspächer (Nr. 234383).

With respect to Claims 1 and 4, Nakagawa teaches an intake hose (Figure A, #101; Figure A is an exploded view of Nakagawa, Figure 1b-1, provided by the Examiner at the end of this Office Action); a hose expanding part (103) formed at a certain portion of said intake hose (101); an inner cover (104) formed inside said hose expanding part (103) and configured for intake air to flow therethrough; a wall (102) formed for obtaining a sealed area between said hose expanding part (103) and said inner cover (104); and an inner hose (105) protruding out from said inner cover (104) for interconnecting the inside of said intake hose (101) and said sealed area; wherein the components are integrally formed by molding (Col. 4, Lines 56-57). Nakagawa fails to teach where the sealed area comprises a substantially annular shape and substantially encircles the inner cover. Eberspächer teaches a sealed area comprising a substantially annular shape and substantially encircling an inner cover (Figure 1, #6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

Art Unit: 2837

combine the apparatus of Nakagawa, with the apparatus of Eberspächer to provide a more compact, space saving design.

With respect to Claims 2 and 3, Nakagawa teaches an inner hose (Figure A, #105) extended in length for reducing low-frequency noise, or shortened in length for reducing high-frequency noise emitted from said intake hose (105) (Col. 5, Lines 17-22).

With respect to Claim 5, Nakagawa teaches an intake hose (Figure A, #101) configured and dimensioned with an expanded portion (103); and an inner member (104) couplable with the expanded portion (103), wherein said inner member (104) is adjustable in length to function as a resonator and reduce intake noise (Col. 5, Lines 10-22). Nakagawa fails to teach wherein said expanded portion comprises a substantially annular shape and substantially encircles said intake hose. Eberspächer teaches an expanded portion (Figure 1, #6) comprising a substantially annular shape and substantially encircling an intake hose (1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the apparatus of Nakagawa, with the apparatus of Eberspächer to provide a more compact, space saving design.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The Examiner considers that the obvious combination of Nakagawa and Eberspächer teach all of the limitations claimed by Applicant.

#### Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy Luks whose telephone number is (571) 272-2707. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30-6:00, and alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan can be reached on (571) 272-1988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,216

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeremy Luks Patent Examiner Art Unit 2837

LINCOLN DONUVALLAMINER

Page 5